INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/018506

A. CLASSIFIC Int.Cl	CATION OF SUBJECT MATTER CO7K16/28, C12N15/11, C12N5/	06, A61K39/395	
According to Int	ernational Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national	al classification and IPC	
B. FIELDS SE	ARCHED		
	nentation searched (classification system followed by classification syste		
	searched other than minimum documentation to the exte		
	pase consulted during the international search (name of s, WPI (DIALOG), BIOSIS (DIALOG),		erms used)
C. DOCUMEN	ITS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	ppropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
х	WO 02/033072 A1 (Chugai Phar Co., Ltd.), 25 April, 2002 (25.04.02), & AU 200210917 A & EP & KR 2003055274 A & JP & CN 1469925 A & US Page 4, line 19 to page 5, li line 26 to page 13; pages 27,	1327680 A1 2002/033072 A1 2004/0091475 A1 ine 20; page 9,	1-4
х	WO 02/033073 Al (Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.), 25 April, 2002 (25.04.02), & AU 200210918 A		1-4
Further do	cuments are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.	
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the		"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family	
Date of the actual completion of the international search 04 March, 2005 (04.03.05)		Date of mailing of the international search report 22 March, 2005 (22.03.05)	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office		Authorized officer	
Facsimile No. Telephone No. Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)			

COPY SUBMITTED IN IDS

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/018506

	PC1/0F2004/010300		
Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Con	tinuation of item 2 of first sheet)		
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons: 1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:			
Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply we extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:			
Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the secondary.	second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).		
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of	item 3 of first sheet)		
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international ap (See extra sheet)	oplication, as follows:		
 As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this inteclaims. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional feany additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 	ee, this Authority did not invite payment of		
 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequence restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Claims 1 to 4. Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the No protest accompanied the payment of additional search. 	e applicant's protest.		

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet (2)) (January 2004)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/JP2004/018506

Continuation of Box No.III of continuation of first sheet (2)

The matter common mutually or totally to claims 1 to 4, claims 5 to 7, claim 8, claim 9, claim 10, claims 11 to 12, claims 13 to 15, the part of claim 16 relating to a combination of sequences of individual SEQ ID NOS, the part of claim 17 relating to a combination of sequences of individual SEQ ID NOS, claim 19, claim 20, the part of claim 21 relating to a combination of sequences of individual SEQ ID NOS, the part of claim 23 relating to a combination of sequences of individual SEQ ID NOS, the part of claim 24 relating to a combination of sequences of individual SEQ ID NOS, the part of claim 26 relating to a combination of sequences of individual SEQ ID NOS, the part of claim 27 relating to a combination of sequences of individual SEQ ID NOS, the part of claim 29 relating to a combination of sequences of individual SEQ ID NOS and claim 32 resides in being an antibody capable of binding to a TPO receptor.

The antibody as used in the present application involves degraded antibodies including antibody fragments. As reported in documents 1 to 3, antibodies or antibody fragments capable of binding to a TPO receptor and those having agonistic activities among them are publicly known.

Therefore, being an antibody capable of binding to a TPO receptor cannot be considered as a special technical feature in the meaning within the second sentence of PCT Rule 13.2. Since there is no common matter seemingly being a special technical feature in the meaning within the second sentence of PCT Rule 13.2, no technical relationship in the meaning within PCT Rule 13 can be found out among these invention groups differing from each other.

Such being the case, the inventions according to claims 1 to 38 are considered not as a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept but as invention groups having 62 inventions respectively relating to 62 different antibodies. Therefore, it is obvious that these claims do not comply with the requirement of unity of invention.

Document 1: International Publication No.99/10494 pamphlet Document 2: International Publication No.02/33072 pamphlet Document 3: International Publication No.02/33703 pamphlet